

C-8.1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, C.G.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3369 /2004

(Petition under article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)

PETITIONER :


Deo Nath Ram Yadav, S/o late Subendra Yadav, aged about 63 years, retired Upper Division Teacher R/o Village and post Nagarnar, Tahsil Jagadalpur, Distt. Bastar, C.G.

P.R. No. 3332/04
 Presented by Shri. Sikandar Gupta
 dated 16-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

- 1) State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Education Deptt. D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur, C.G.
- 2) Chief Accountant General, State of Chhattisgarh, Fafadih Raipur, C.G.
- 3) Joint Director, Treasury & Accounts, State of Chhattisgarh, at Jagadalpur Distt. Bastar C.G.
- 4) Principal Govt. H.S. School, Nagarnar, Jagadalpur, Distt. Bastar, C.G.



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE A WRIT OF
ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE
OF MANDAMUS OR CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3369/2004
सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>27-01-2005.</u> Shri Vishnu Koshta, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh, learned Govt. Advocate, for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard. The case of the petitioner is that he was initially appointed as Assistant Teacher on 12-12-1963 and thereafter promoted as Upper Division Teacher w.e.f. 03-05-1990. His pay was fixed on a particular scale and ultimately he retired on 31-07-2003 from the post of Upper Division Teacher itself. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though some retiral benefits have been paid, but a sum of Rs.37,821/- has been illegally deducted from his payment. He also submits that the pension has also not been fixed in accordance with the provision of law. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner made a limited prayer that the petitioner be allowed to file a detailed representation to the concerned respondents and they may be directed to decide the said representation within a stipulated period given by this Court. This limited prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner, is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. The prayer is allowed. It is directed that the petitioner shall file a detailed representation before the concerned respondents within a period of 15 days from today along with copy of this order & copy of writ petition and in turn the concerned respondents shall decide the same in accordance with law by a	

(28)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3369/2004
सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	2 reasoned order, as early as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the said representation. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands finally disposed of. Consequently, I.A. No.7173/2004 stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Subdy